Name(s):

SCIENCE EXPERIMENT MARKING RUBRIC

Scientific Thought	Extending	Proficient	Developing	
Question/ Hypothesis	States a clear, testable question Hypothesis uses "ifthenbecause" statement with strong reasoning provided	States a clear, testable question Hypothesis uses "ifthenbecause" statement but no reasoning is provided	States a question that is testable but does not include an "ifthenbecause" statement and no reasoning is provided	
Background Research	-Research is thorough and clearly stated. -Clear link between background research and project focusAll sources clearly cited in proper format	 -Research is adequate to give enough background information. -Clear link between background research and project -All sources clearly cited in proper format 	-Some research is evident but is incomplete. -Link between research and project is adequate. -Some sources are cited or is not in MLA format	
Variables	 Independent and dependent variables clearly and correctly identified. -3 or more controlled variables are listed 	 -Independent and dependent variables are clearly and correctly identified. -2 controlled variables are listed 	-Independent and dependent variables are clearly and correctly identified. -Controlled variables are missing	
Trials/Sample Size	-Sample size/number of trials is 5 or more.	Sample size/number of trials is 4.	Sample size/number of trials is 3.	S
Materials	All materials are listed clearly with exact quantities	Most materials listed clearly with exact quantities	All materials listed but exact quantities missing or unclear	
Procedural Summary	-Procedure is well explained in the past tense. -Experiment could be replicated easily based on provided procedure. -Procedure ensures variables are controlled	-Procedure is complete but missing some detail. -Experiment could be replicated but would require some additional explanation.	-Procedure is missing 1 or 2 important steps. -Experiment would be difficult to replicate without asking multiple questions.	
Data Summary & Analysis (tables, photos, graphs, etc.)	-Summarizes data using graphs, charts, etc. -Graphs/charts are accurate, easily understood, and complete (titles, labels, variables, correct SI units) -Data shown in graphs/charts is clearly interpreted, patterns identified and explained	-Data is clear and complete. -Clear explanation and interpretation of data is present but lacking detail OR Explanation and interpretation is clear and detailed, but Data is missing 1 (title, label, unit etc.)	-Data is clear and complete. -Minimal/simple explanation and interpretation given.	
Discussion	-Detailed explanation for each of the following: A) Scientific meaning and relevance (to society, the world, etc.) is discussed. B) Sources of error and suggestions for improvement are included C)Suggestions/ideas for possible further research are given	All 3 sections for discussion are present but depth and/or breadth could be improved upon	-All 3 sections for discussion are present but lacking detail/explanation OR -One key section is missing but other 2 are clearly explained.	
Conclusion	-Conclusion directly addresses the question and hypothesis -Experimental results support the conclusion (data is consistent)	-Conclusion addresses the question and hypothesis -Experimental generally support the conclusion though some data is inconsistent	-Conclusion addresses the question but is not well supported by experimental results	-(h

Emerging

States a question that is confusing or untestable.

-Very little or no background evidence.

-Research has little relevance to project.

-Sources are not cited or not in MLA format.

-Independent and dependent variables are incorrectly identified, reversed, or absent.

-2 or fewer controlled variables are listed.

Sample size/number of trials is 2 to none.

Most materials listed but quantities missing or unclear

-Procedure does not completely match the actual experiment.

-Procedure is missing many steps.

-Experiment could not be replicated using information provided

-Data is shown but is very minimal/incomplete. -No explanation or interpretation given.

-Discussion is minimal -May be missing important sections or detail and explanation

-Conclusion does not directly address the question and hypothesis

Name(s):

	"Stars":	What did they do well?	"Wishes": V
Experiment Design, Variables,			
Results, etc			
Project Oral Presentation :			
Project Display Board :			

What could they improve?